

1 THE TU FIRM, APLC  
2 HOANG HUY TU, ESQ.  
3 ttflawyers@gmail.com  
4 CA Bar # 200842  
5 Walter Emil Teague III, Esq.  
6 [walterteague@thetufirm.com](mailto:walterteague@thetufirm.com)  
7 CA Bar #68548  
8 10810 Warner Avenue, #12  
9 Fountain Valley, CA 92708  
10 Tel.: (714) 636-6030  
11 Fax.: (714) 363-6048

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY,  
INCORPORATED, a California  
corporation, FIRST PREMIER X,  
LLC, a California limited liability  
company, LITTLE SAIGON  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC,  
a California limited liability company,  
VIETNAMESE AMERICAN  
CULTURE AND EDUCATION  
FOUNDATION (“VACEF”), a  
California corporation,

PLAINTIFFS,

vs.

THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF  
CHINA; NATIONAL HEALTH

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL REQUESTED**

1 COMMISSION OF THE REPUBLIC  
2 OF CHINA; MINISTRY OF  
3 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OF  
4 THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA;  
5 MINISTRY OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS  
6 OF THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF  
7 CHINA; THE PEOPLE’S GOVERNMENT  
8 OF HUBEI PROVINCE; AND THE  
9 PEOPLE’S GOVERNMENT OF  
10 THE CITY OF WUHAN, CHINA.

11  
12 DEFENDANTS.

13  
14 CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY, INCORPORATED, a California corporation,  
15 FIRST PREMIER X, LLC, a California limited liability company, LITTLE  
16 SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC, a California limited liability  
17 company, VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION  
18 FOUNDATION (“VACEF”), a California corporation, (collectively, “Named  
19 Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all those similarly situated,  
20 by and through their undersigned counsel, THE TU FIRM, APLC, hereby bring  
21 this class action against the People’s Republic of China (“the PRC”); National  
22 Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China; Ministry of Emergency  
23 Management of the People’s Republic of China; Ministry of Civil Affairs of the  
24 People’s Republic of China; The People’s Government of Hubei Province; and the  
25 People’s Government of the City of Wuhan, China (collectively the “Defendants”),  
26 for damages and other relief, and hereby allege as follows:

27 /////

28 /////

/////

/////

## **INTRODUCTION**

1  
2  
3 1. This class action complaint is brought by the Named Plaintiffs, which  
4 consist of “small businesses” in the United States, including the State of California,  
5 and as defined by the U.S. Small Business Administration, for monetary and  
6 related damages sustained as a result of the coronavirus pandemic, against the  
7 Defendants, the PRC and its various government entities, which handled and  
8 managed the response to the discovery of the coronavirus, and, upon information  
9 and belief, engaged in a cover-up of the coronavirus pandemic in China generally,  
10 and within Hubei Province and the City of Wuhan, thereby causing and/or  
11 contributing to the subsequent spread of the coronavirus all over the world,  
12 including to the United States of America (“US”) and the State of California.

13 2. The PRC is the world’s most populated country with over 1.3 Billion people.  
14 The PRC is also the world’s second largest economy. As a result, the PRC has  
15 substantial economic and trading relationships with almost every country in the  
16 world, including the US.

17 3. In 2019, the US trade with the PRC was approximately \$555 Billion.

18 4. In 2018, approximately 3 Million tourists visited the US from the PRC. In  
19 that same year, approximately 2 Million US tourists visited the PRC.

20 5. The extensive business, trade and tourism relationships between the PRC  
21 and the US require honesty, accurate disclosure and transparency between the two  
22 counties, especially on any health issue or condition, which can cause a pandemic.

23 6. The world, including the US and the State of California, has been devastated  
24 in recent months by the new strain of the coronavirus, more commonly known as  
25 COVID-19, and the mutations that have occurred with this “new” virus.

26 7. Upon information and belief, this “new” coronavirus began in Wuhan,  
27 Hubei Province, China on or about November 17, 2019, and subsequently spread  
28 throughout the world, including to the US and the State of California. Reported

1 information suggests that the first case occurred in the Human Wholesale Market,  
2 in Wuhan, China. Therefore, the virus has zoonotic origin.

3 8. Shortly after November 17, 2019, the PRC and the other Defendants knew,  
4 or should have known, that COVID-19 was a “new” dangerous, contagious, and  
5 deadly virus because many Chinese citizens who contracted the virus were getting  
6 very sick, and some were dying. Moreover, DNA samples taken from these very  
7 sick and dying people confirmed that this was a “new” virus for which there was  
8 no vaccine or cure.

9 9. Shortly after November 17, 2019, the PRC and the other Defendants  
10 received credible scientific evidence confirming that this “new” virus, which first  
11 emerged in Wuhan, China was very contagious, deadly and capable of causing a  
12 pandemic.

13 10. Upon receiving this disturbing scientific evidence and data, the PRC and the  
14 other Defendants had a responsibility to its own citizens, the World Health  
15 Organization (‘WHO’), and the international community, including the citizens  
16 and businesses of the US and those in the State of California, to immediately  
17 disclose this evidence.

18 11. Instead of disclosing this evidence, the PRC and the other Defendants  
19 engaged in a campaign of misinformation and lies. Upon information and belief,  
20 they engaged in a campaign of intimidating and arresting any Chinese doctors,  
21 scientists, attorneys and/or reporters who tried to alert the public about this  
22 dangerous “new” coronavirus.

23 12. As a result of the actions and inactions of the PRC and the other Defendants,  
24 the international community, including the named Plaintiffs, did not know about  
25 the severity and dangers of this “new” virus.

26 13. When the coronavirus reached the US it quickly led to an unprecedented  
27 health crisis. This virus has infected many thousands of Americans, though it is  
28 suspected that there are exponentially more Americans unknowingly carrying the

1 virus, and has made many of those Americans very sick. As of April 6, 2020, over  
 2 330,891 people in the US have been infected with this new coronavirus, and over  
 3 1,342,775 in the world have been infected. Significantly, this virus is so contagious  
 4 it took several weeks for the first 100,000 cases (most of which were in China) to  
 5 occur; the next 100,000 global cases occurred in the following 12 days; and it took  
 6 just 3 days for the documented cases to go from 200,000 to 300,000 cases.

7 14. The conduct and misconduct of the Defendants has caused substantial  
 8 monetary and related damages to the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members. These  
 9 damages exceed hundreds of billions of dollars, and such damages will only  
 10 increase in the future because many of these small businesses have been ordered  
 11 closed or are working at reduced capacity. The Defendants' conduct and  
 12 misconduct has caused and will continue to cause the named Plaintiffs and Class  
 13 Members, to suffer, among other things, reduced revenues, reduced profits and/or  
 14 the closure of many US "small businesses."

## 15 PARTIES

16  
 17  
 18 15. Plaintiff CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY, INCORPORATED, is a  
 19 California corporation, which operates a "small business" real estate investor in  
 20 California. Currently this company is closed because of the coronavirus pandemic.

21 16. Plaintiff FIRST PREMIER X, LLC, a California limited liability  
 22 company, operates a "small business" that manages commercial real estate in  
 23 California. Currently this company's business is closed because of the coronavirus.

24 17. Plaintiff LITTLE SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC,  
 25 a California limited liability company, which is an advocate and representative of  
 26 "small business." Currently, this company is experiencing a substantial reduction  
 27 in income and profits because of the coronavirus.

28 18. Plaintiff VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION

1 FOUNDATION (“VACEF”), is a California corporation, which is a “small  
2 business” that provides funds to various charitable organizations. Currently, this  
3 company is experiencing a substantial reduction in income and profits because of  
4 the coronavirus.

5 19. Plaintiff Class Members are all “small businesses” in the United States  
6 which are similarly situated to Named Plaintiffs. According to the United States  
7 Small Business Administration (“SBA”) these “small businesses” number over 32  
8 Million businesses, which each have fewer than 500 employees. Moreover, in the  
9 State of California there are over 177,000 small businesses alone.

10 20. The People’s Republic of China (“the PRC”) is a foreign nation.

11 21. The National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China is the  
12 administrative government body and executive department under the PRC which is  
13 responsible for monitoring and formulating health policies in Mainland China.

14 22. The Ministry of Emergency Management of the People’s Republic of China  
15 is the administrative government body that coordinates emergency management,  
16 including health issues, within the PRC.

17 23. The Ministry of Civil Affairs of the People’s Republic of China is the  
18 administrative government body responsible for social and administrative affairs.

19 24. The People’s Government of Hubei Province (“Hubei Province”) is a  
20 foreign province and administrative head of Hubei Province in the PRC.

21 25. The People’s Government of City of Wuhan, China (“Wuhan”) is a foreign  
22 city and administrative head of the City of Wuhan, China.

### 23 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

24  
25  
26 26. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to  
27 the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (CAFA) and 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The  
28 matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of

1 \$5,000,000; there exists minimal diversity between parties; and there are over 32  
2 Million US “small businesses” which are putative class members.

3 27. This Court further has jurisdiction under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities  
4 Act (FSIA) of 1976, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602 et seq., and particularly the exceptions of §  
5 1605(a) (2) (for acts outside the territory of the United States in connection with a  
6 commercial activity of the Defendants, that cause a direct effect in the United  
7 States), and § 1605(a) (5) (for money damages for loss of property, occurring in  
8 the United States and caused by the tortious acts or omissions of Defendants, or of  
9 any official or employee of Defendants while acting within the scope of his office  
10 or employment).

11 28. There is exception to jurisdiction under the FSIA for “discretionary acts”  
12 because the Defendants have acted clearly contrary to the precepts of humanity,  
13 transparency, and/or their conduct is prohibited by the internal laws of the PRC  
14 and its provincial and municipal governments. Moreover, on March 19, 2020 the  
15 PRC admitted that the Wuhan police acted improperly when they intimidated and  
16 forced Dr. Li Wenliang, who was a whistle blower that exposed the existence and  
17 dangers of this “new” virus, to sign a false statement. This coerced false statement  
18 in effect had Dr. Wenliang deny that a “new” virus had been discovered and was  
19 killing people in China. Then, the Defendants used this false statement to mislead  
20 the international community, including the US, regarding the seriousness of this  
21 “new” virus and the immense catastrophe that it could cause to countries, people  
22 and businesses all over the world.

23 29. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they have  
24 caused tortious harm to the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members, throughout the  
25 United States, and in this District, and have sufficient contacts in California and the  
26 rest of the US to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible.

30. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) (2) and (c) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Named Plaintiffs' and Class Members' claims occurred in this District.

31. All conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit have been met and/or waived by the conduct of Defendants.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

### *The Outbreak of COVID-19*

32. According to the US Center for Disease Control ("CDC"), as of March 22, 2020, there are over 330,000 confirmed worldwide cases, over 14,000 deaths, and an exponentially large quantity of undiagnosed cases. These numbers are expected to increase exponentially in the coming weeks and months.

33. According to the CDC as of April 6, 2020, over 330,891 confirmed cases in the US and over 74,564 deaths. In the state of California alone there are over 14,336 COVID-19 related illnesses and 343 deaths. These numbers are expected to rise exponentially in the coming hours, days and weeks as more people get tested in the US.

34. Over 1 Million US "small businesses" have been forced to close or have been forced to substantially reduce their operations. These numbers are expected to rise as Governors, County Commissioners and City Mayors all over the US are ordering small Businesses to close or reduce their operations.

35. This "new" virus causes cold and flu like symptoms that often lead to pneumonia and severe respiratory distress that can be fatal. It is several times more deadly than the seasonal flu virus. Significantly, this "new" virus has already mutated several times. So, currently we have two strains of this virus- strain S and Stain L.



1 36. Between November 17, 2019 - when the first case of this new virus was first  
2 detected until February 11, 2020, when the WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak  
3 a pandemic, the PRC and the other Defendants intentionally mislead the  
4 international community, including the named Plaintiffs, about the coronavirus and  
5 its devastating medical and economic effects. Moreover, the Defendants covered-  
6 up the severity of this health pandemic. In order to effectuate this cover-up, it is  
7 believed that Defendants intimidated doctors, scientists, journalists, and lawyers  
8 and ordered the destruction of medical testing and data, which would have exposed  
9 Defendants' attempted cover-up to the public. While Defendants took these  
10 actions, the Defendants told the public that "everything was under control". In  
11 truth, the medical crisis was out of control.

12  
13 *The Effects of the COVID-19 Outbreak and China's Role*  
14

15 37. Because of the rising threats, the United States has barred plane travel from  
16 China, the European Union, and most countries in the world. The New York Stock  
17 Exchange has suffered its worst losses since the great depression. US stocks have  
18 lost almost 28% of their value, resulting in almost 5 Trillion Dollars in lost wealth.  
19 Conventions, functions and events have been cancelled. The playing seasons for all  
20 professional sports in the US have been suspended indefinitely. Hotels and casinos  
21 in most states, including in California, have been closed. Shows and cinemas have  
22 been closed. Many restaurants have been closed. Tourism has been decimated.  
23 Millions of small businesses are closed. For the businesses that remain open, many  
24 of them cannot get supplies or equipment, and/or they have few customers. Many  
25 supplies like toilet paper, hand sanitizers, face masks, and medicines are difficult to  
26 find.

27 38. The PRC and other Defendants, are part of a totalitarian governmental  
28 system. Part of this system involves exaggerating good news, while suppressing

1 bad news. The discovery of a “new” contagious and deadly virus that started in  
2 Wuhan, China was bad news. International treaties, agreements and common  
3 decency required the PRC and the other defendants to inform the international  
4 community shortly after November 17, 2019 about this “new” dangerous,  
5 contagious and deadly virus. They did not. Instead, they engaged in a campaign of  
6 falsehoods, misinformation, cover-up and destruction of evidence.

7 39. The PRC and the other Defendants failed to timely report the outbreak,  
8 under reported the severity of the virus, underreported the deaths caused by  
9 COVID-19, and failed to contain the outbreak despite knowing the seriousness of  
10 the situation.

11 40. President Trump issued an Executive Order banning “banning foreign  
12 nationals other than the immediate family of US citizens and permanent residents  
13 who have travelled in China in the past 14 days” from entering the US effective  
14 February 2, 2020. However, the PRC and Defendants, from November 17, 2019 to  
15 February 2, 2020, allowed thousands of people from Wuhan to travel to the US,  
16 although they knew, or should have known, that there was a high likelihood that  
17 many of these people carried the very contagious “new” virus and would  
18 contaminate many people living in the US.

19 41. The Defendants also committed the following acts and omissions:

20 a. People in Wuhan started to die from the virus in December, 2019 and the  
21 Defendants suppressed and/or under-reported this information;

22 b. On December 27, 2019, Dr. Zhang Jixian, at the Hubei Provincial Hospital  
23 of Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine, sounded the alarm about a “new”  
24 disease that had already affected 180 patients, and the Defendants suppressed this  
25 information;

26 c. From December 26-30, 2019, the first evidence of the new virus was  
27 revealed through Wuhan patient data, which had been sent to multiple Chinese  
28 genomics companies. During this time period, the Hubei Health Commission

1 ordered one of the genomics companies to stop testing on the new virus and to  
2 destroy all the data. Simultaneously, the Defendants pressured the press not to  
3 report these facts;

4 d. On December 30, 2020, Dr. Li Wenliang (“Dr. Li”) sent a message to his  
5 former classmates about a “new” virus infecting Wuhan residents and urged them  
6 to be careful.

7 e. On December 31, 2019, which was almost 1 1/2 months after the first  
8 reported case, Chinese officials finally alerted the WHO about pneumonia with an  
9 “unknown cause” affecting the health of people in Wuhan. This disclosure was  
10 misleading because the destroyed data showed that the real cause was a newly  
11 discovered virus and it was far too late;

12 f. On December 31, 2019, the Chinese Internet authorities started to censor all  
13 social media references to this new disease and the government’s response;

14 g. On December 31, 2019, the Wuhan seafood market, where the virus  
15 allegedly first broke out, was finally closed. Under the guise of “disinfecting” the  
16 area, governmental authorities intentionally failed to have doctors inspect the area  
17 and failed to swab individual animal cages or to draw blood from the workers in  
18 order to determine the “real” source of the virus;

19 h. On January 1, 2020, eight doctors, including Dr. Li, who had been disclosing  
20 the “new” virus, were detained and questioned by the police, who condemned them  
21 for “making false statements on the internet” about a new virus;

22 i. On January 3, 2020, China’s National Health Commission issued a gag order  
23 on matters regarding the “new” virus, and ordered pneumonia samples from  
24 affected people to be moved to designated facilities or destroyed. The same  
25 Commission ordered medical institutions not to publish anything about this  
26 “unknown disease”;

1 j. On January 5, 2020, Professor Zhang Yongzhen of the Shanghai Public  
2 Health Clinical Center provided the genomic sequence of the “new” virus to  
3 Chinese authorities

4 k. On January 9, 2020, the WHO released a statement about the cluster of  
5 pneumonia cases in Wuhan, suggesting the cases were attributable to a new  
6 coronavirus, because they ruled out SARS, MERS, influenza, bird flu, and other  
7 known pathogens;

8 l. On January 9, 2020, after being outed by the WHO, the Defendants finally  
9 announced the first “official death” of a coronavirus patient and that 59 additional  
10 people had coronavirus in Wuhan;

11 m. On January 10, 2020, the Defendants’ agent and health expert, Wang  
12 Guangfa, told the Chinese state’s China Central Television that the pneumonia was  
13 “under control” and downplayed the virus as a “mild condition”;

14 n. On January 14, 2020, the WHO disclosed that there may have been human  
15 to human transmission of the “new” virus;

16 o. On January 14, 2020, Chinese police started detaining journalists trying to  
17 report the outbreak at the Wuhan Jinyintan Hospital. These journalists were also  
18 forced to delete any footages taken, and they were forced to give their phones to  
19 the police;

20 p. On January 15, 2020, Li Qun, the head of the Chinese CDC (Center for  
21 Disease Control) mislead the public by stating that the risk of human to human  
22 transmission “was low”;

23 q. On January 18, 2020, despite the known COVID-19 crisis and its high level  
24 of contamination, the City of Wuhan held a “potluck” banquet for 40,000 people to  
25 try and break a world record;

26 r. On January 20, 2020, Dr. Zhong Nanshan, a top Chinese doctor who  
27 previously helped fight the SARS epidemic, stated during a television interview  
28 that COVID-19 was spreading from person to person. This same doctor later stated

1 that if the Defendant PRC had acted in December 2019 or early January 2020 to  
2 contain the virus, “the number of sick would have been greatly reduced”;

3 s. On January 20, 2020, the Mayor of Wuhan Zhou Xianwang admitted that  
4 Beijing’s rules kept him from disclosing information about COVID-19. Therefore,  
5 the Defendants’ failure to be transparent with the public, and failure to confront the  
6 COVID-19 crisis by ordering a quarantine for almost two months, was central to a  
7 pandemic occurring because the infection spread in an exponential manner.

8 According to scientists almost 99% of the world’s infections could have been  
9 avoided if the Defendants had acted properly in early December, 2019;

10 t. On January 22, 2020, the President of PRC Xi Jinping stated that he had  
11 ordered local officials to contain the virus as early as January 3, 2020. However,  
12 President Jinping’s position on January 22, 2020 is directly contradicted by the  
13 statements of the local Wuhan governmental officials;

14 u. On January 23, 2020, the City of Wuhan was ordered locked down.  
15 However, 5 million people had already left the city without being screened by that  
16 time, and nobody knows where these 5 million people travelled to;

17 v. On January 30, 2020, the first person to person case in the US is reported.  
18 This involved the husband of a Chicago woman who brought the infection back  
19 from Wuhan, China;

20 w. On February 6, 2020, to further control the narrative of “everything is under  
21 control”, President Jinping orders China’s Internet watch dog to further control  
22 social media platforms. On that same day, journalist and attorney Chen Qiushi,  
23 disappeared in Wuhan after posting footage from overcrowded hospitals and  
24 panicking families;

25 x. On February 7, 2020, Dr. Li Wenliang, who was one of the first whistle  
26 blowers regarding CORVID-19, died. The PRC disclosed that Dr. Wenliang  
27 allegedly died after contracting coronavirus from a patient that he was treating.

1 Several civil rights groups have alleged that Dr. Wenliang was killed in order to  
2 silence him;

3 y. On February 9, 2020, journalist Fang Bin mysteriously disappeared after  
4 posting videos about the CORVID-19 crisis in Wuhan.

5 z. On February 15, 2020, President Jinping further tightened control over the  
6 internet. On that same day Chinese activist Xu Zhiyong was arrested for writing an  
7 essay that called upon President Jinping to resign for his poor handling of the  
8 coronavirus pandemic;

9 aa. On February 16, 2020, Chinese Professor Xu Zhangrun was arrested and  
10 banned from using the internet after he published an article stating that: “That the  
11 coronavirus epidemic has revealed the rotten core of Chinese governance”;

12 bb. On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared that CORVID- 19 was a pandemic  
13 after it had spread to over 100 countries;

14 cc. On March 14, 2020, another Chinese reporter, Ren Zhiqiang, disappeared  
15 after he criticized President Jinping’s handling of the coronavirus epidemic;

16 dd. On March 17, 2020, the PRC ordered journalists from the New York Times,  
17 Wall Street Journal and Washington Post to leave the country after they continued  
18 to report the out of control pandemic in China and that country’s citizens’  
19 complaints;

20 ee. On March 19, 2020, after there was wide spread unrest in the PRC, the  
21 government publically announced that the Wuhan police had acted improperly  
22 when they had previously stated that whistle blower Dr. Li Wenliang was  
23 “spreading rumors” about the coronavirus in early January, 2020. However, even  
24 this ‘admission’ was misleading because Dr. Wenliang first complained in late  
25 December, 2019 and his statements pertained to the existence of a “new” and  
26 deadly virus; and

27 ff. As of March 22, 2020, the PRC’s narrative is that the pandemic is under  
28 control in China and there are very few new deaths in China related to COVID-19.

1 However, this PRC narrative is contradicted by Chinese Billionaire Guo Wengui  
2 who has stated that the Chinese government continues to underreport illnesses and  
3 deaths because they are currently using portable incubators to cremate up to 1,200  
4 bodies a day. Significantly, Mr. Wengui's claims are supported by many scientists,  
5 who seriously doubt that the PRC, which has over 1.3 Billion people, and had over  
6 100,000 infected people only a few weeks ago, suddenly experienced a miracle  
7 that has resulted in "no new deaths".

8 42. It is reported that there are only two known Chinese government bio-weapon  
9 research labs in the PRC and one of them — the National Biosafety Laboratory at  
10 the Wuhan Institute of Virology — is located in Wuhan, and is close in proximity  
11 to the Human Seafood Wholesale Market, where COVID-19 allegedly originated.  
12 This lab is considered China's only "level 4" microbiology lab – meaning it deals  
13 with the deadliest viruses. A plausible alternative theory is that COVID-19 escaped  
14 from the Wuhan lab because of lax controls, or that Chinese researchers sold lab  
15 animals to the marketplace in question, something researchers have been known to  
16 do in China, instead of cremating them as PRC law requires.

17  
18 43. The Defendants' conduct has set off an unprecedented world-wide  
19 pandemic which has caused panic, illnesses, deaths, and a global recession  
20 financial meltdown that will result in a global recession worse than the great  
21 depression. This global recession will also impact the US and the Plaintiff Class  
22 Members.

23 44. The Defendants' conduct and misconduct has been egregious, contrary to the  
24 precepts of humanity, and/or is prohibited by the internal laws of the PRC and its  
25 provincial and municipal governments, and/or international laws and treaties.

26 45. Because of the Defendants' conduct and misconduct, as described herein,  
27 the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members, have sustained, and they will continue to  
28 sustain, substantial damages, including monetary loss in the trillions of dollars.



1 46. This pandemic has already adversely affected the Plaintiffs and Class  
2 Plaintiffs in the United States, including in the State of California.

3 47. All condition precedents to the filing of this class action lawsuit has been  
4 satisfied, met, and/or waived.

5  
6 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**  
7

8 48. The Named Plaintiffs assert National and California Non-Commercial Tort  
9 Classes against Defendants pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3) and/or  
10 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all  
11 those similarly situated. The Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs define the  
12 National Non-Commercial Tort Class as follows:

13 All small businesses in the United States, including the State of California, which  
14 have sustained, among other things, financial/monetary damages and/or losses  
15 related to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus.

16 49. The Named Plaintiffs further assert National and California Commercial  
17 Classes, pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3) and/or 23(c) (4) of the  
18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all those similarly  
19 situated. The Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs define the National Commercial  
20 Class as follows:

21 All small businesses in the United States, including the State of California, which  
22 sustained, among other things, financial/monetary damages and/or losses related to  
23 the outbreak of the COVID-19.

24 50. Excluded from the Class are the following: (1) the Defendants, and any  
25 parent, subsidiary or affiliate organizations, and the officers, directors, agents,  
26 servants, or employees of same, and the members of the immediate family of any  
27 such person; (2) all small businesses in the US who timely opt out of this  
28 proceeding; (3) all small businesses that have been given valid releases releasing



1 Defendants from the claims asserted in this Class Action Complaint; (4) all persons  
2 who, prior to the filing of this Complaint, have filed a non - class action claim  
3 against the Defendants (or any of them) for the claims asserted in this Complaint;  
4 and (5) the judge(s) to whom this case is assigned, their employees and clerks, and  
5 immediate family members.

6 51. The Class is sufficiently numerous, and as a result, the joinder of all  
7 members of the Class in a single action is impracticable. There are over 32 Million  
8 Small Businesses in the US, and a substantial majority of these small businesses  
9 and any of their affiliated entities, have been, or will be affected financially, in the  
10 immediate future by Defendants' wrongful conduct.

11 52. There are numerous common questions of law and fact that predominate  
12 over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Among these  
13 common questions of law and fact are the following:

- 14 a. Whether Defendants' conduct was negligent and/or reckless;
- 15 b. Whether Defendants' conduct was clearly contrary to the precepts of  
16 humanity;
- 17 c. Whether Defendants' conduct violated established laws within the PRC;
- 18 d. Whether the PRC's bio-weapons labs are ultra-hazardous activities, and  
19 caused the release of the virus;
- 20 e. Whether the PRC violated the rules and regulations of the WHO; and
- 21 f. Whether the PRC engaged in an intentional cover-up in order for the  
22 international community and the Plaintiff Class not to discover what was taking  
23 place with the COVID-19 pandemic.

24 53. The claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of each member  
25 of the Class in that, among other issues:

- 26 a. The Named Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same course of conduct of  
27 Defendants giving rise to the claims of other Class Members;

1 b. The claims of the Named Plaintiffs and each member of the Class are based  
2 upon the same legal theories;

3 c. The Named Plaintiffs and each member of the Class have an interest in  
4 prevailing on the same legal claims;

5 d. The types of financial damages incurred by the Named Plaintiffs are similar  
6 to those incurred by the other Class Members; and

7 e. The defenses asserted by Defendants will be very similar, if not identical, as  
8 to all Named Plaintiffs and Class Members.

9 54. The Named Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class in which they  
10 participate because, together with their legal counsel, each will fairly and  
11 adequately protect the interests of the Class. Named Plaintiffs and all Class  
12 Members have a similar, if not identical interest in obtaining the relief sought.  
13 Proof of the claims of the Named Plaintiffs will also establish the claims of the  
14 Class. Named Plaintiffs are not subject to any unique defenses. Named Plaintiffs  
15 have no known conflict with the Class and are committed to the vigorous  
16 prosecution of this action.

17 55. The undersigned counsel are competent counsel experienced in class action  
18 litigation, mass torts, and complex litigation involving such widespread harm.  
19 Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

20 56. The various claims asserted in this action are certifiable under the provisions  
21 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1) because prosecuting separate actions  
22 by or against individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or  
23 varying adjudications with respect to individual Class Members that would  
24 establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the Classes, or  
25 adjudications with respect to individual Class Members that, as a practical matter,  
26 would be dispositive of the interests of the other Class Members who are not  
27 parties to the individual adjudications, or would substantially impair or impede  
28 their ability to protect their interests.

1 57. Plaintiffs' legal claims are properly certified pursuant to Rule 23(b) (3) in  
2 that: (1) a class action is superior in this case to other methods of dispute  
3 resolution; (2) the Class Members have an interest in class adjudication rather than  
4 individual adjudication because of their overlapping rights; (3) it is highly  
5 desirable to concentrate the resolution of these claims in this single forum because  
6 it would be difficult and highly unlikely that the affected Class Members would  
7 protect their rights on their own without this class action case; (4) the disparity  
8 between the resources of Defendants and Class Members would make prosecution  
9 of individual actions a financial hardship on Class Members; (5) the prosecution of  
10 separate actions by individual Class Members, or the individual joinder of all Class  
11 Members is impractical and would create a massive and unnecessary burden on the  
12 Court's resources; and (6) Management of the class will be efficient and far  
13 superior to the management of individual lawsuits. Moreover, currently, the  
14 undersigned counsel is unaware of any other pending litigation regarding this  
15 controversy with respect to the specific small business claims asserted in this case.

16 58. The issues particularly common to the Class Members' claims, some of  
17 which are identified above, are alternatively certifiable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
18 23(c) (4), as resolution of these issues would materially advance the litigation, and  
19 class resolution of these issues is superior to repeated litigation of these issues in  
20 separate trials.

21 59. The Named Plaintiffs have retained the below counsel to represent them in  
22 this lawsuit, and are obligated to pay said counsel reasonable attorneys' fees  
23 provided recovery is obtained.

24 /////

25 /////

26 /////

27 /////

28 /////

**COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE**

(Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member; Against all Defendants)

The Named Plaintiffs adopt, incorporate by reference, and restate the foregoing allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59, as if fully set forth herein, and further allege:

60. Defendants owed a duty to small businesses in the United States and in the of California, including the Named Plaintiffs and the Class Members, to not act negligently in their management and handling of the COVID-19 outbreak, so that COVID-19 would not unreasonably spread as it did to the United States, including the States of California.

61. Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, by, among other things:

a. Failing to admit their knowledge of the dangers of the virus, its lethality, and the ease of human to human transmission;

b. Failing to contain the virus in its early stages when they knew, or should have known, of its dangers and ease of transmission;

c. Failing to contain the virus more quickly when the spread was apparent;

d. Failing to restrict public gatherings of more than 40,000 Wuhan families when they knew, or should have known, of the dangers of the virus and ease of transmission;

e. Failure of the governmental entities to adequately and reasonably supervise the outbreak and contain its effects after the medical community warned them about these dangers;

f. Failing to provide adequate and reasonable warnings to Plaintiffs and the Class Members when they knew or should have known of the dangers described herein;

1 g. Disseminating materials and statements that provided wrong information to  
2 people within and outside China;

3 h. Destroying scientific evidence and data, so the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff  
4 class members could not know or learn about what was really occurring in China;

5 i. Disseminating false information to the US, which made it impossible to  
6 know or learn what was really taking place in China with COVID-19; and

7 j. Engaging in a cover-up regarding the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic,  
8 which made it impossible for the US and the Plaintiffs to know, or learn, what was  
9 really occurring in China, and how this could affect their businesses.

10 62. But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and  
11 Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been  
12 harmed.

13 63. There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants'  
14 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm  
15 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

16 64. Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein,  
17 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members  
18 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious  
19 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-  
20 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or  
21 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members  
22 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants.

23 65. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches as described  
24 herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members sustained hundreds of Billions or  
25 Trillions of dollars in financial damages and/or economic losses, and seek actual,  
26 special, exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages.

27 /////

28 /////

**COUNT II – STRICT LIABILITY FOR CONDUCTING**  
**ULTRAHAZARDOUS ACTIVITY**

(Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member; Against all Defendants)

Named Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class Members adopt, incorporate by reference, and restate the foregoing allegations in paragraphs 1 through 65, as if fully set forth herein, and further allege:

66. Upon information and belief, the only two registered bio-weapons laboratories in the PRC are located in the City of Wuhan, and one of them, the National Biosafety Laboratory at the Wuhan Institute of Virology, is the only declared site in China capable of working with deadly viruses, and handles, according to various press accounts, covert military applications of viruses.

67. In February, 2020 after the PRC's President Xi Jinping finally began speaking openly about the outbreak and its spread, it was reported by the media that the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology released a new directive titled: "Instructions on strengthening biosecurity management in microbiology labs that handle advanced viruses like the novel coronavirus." Clearly, Defendants knew or should have known about containment issues within their microbiology labs, such as the ones operating in Wuhan, and that those labs handling viruses such as COVID-19.

68. The Wuhan laboratories are in close proximity to the "wild animal" marketplace where COVID-19 is alleged to have originated.

69. Furthermore, it has been reported in the media that some Chinese researchers are in the habit of selling their laboratory animals to street vendors after they have finished experimenting on them, instead of properly disposing of infected animals by cremation, as the law requires.

1 70. The conduct of Defendants in connection with activities at the National  
2 Biosafety Laboratory constitutes an ultra-hazardous activity under US and  
3 California law because:

4 a. The conduct necessarily involves a risk of serious harm to the person, land,  
5 property or chattels of others which cannot be eliminated by the exercise of the  
6 utmost care;

7 b. The activities at the lab are not a matter of common usage; and

8 c. The activity is not of substantial value to any community.

9 71. The harms alleged herein are the result of Defendants' ultra-hazardous  
10 activity.

11 72. The harms suffered by the Plaintiffs and the Class Members are within the  
12 abnormal risk of harm posed by Defendants' ultra-hazardous activity.

13 73. By conducting this ultra-hazardous activity, the Defendants' acts and  
14 omissions demonstrate a conscious disregard or indifference to the rights, welfare,  
15 safety, and property rights of Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

16 74. But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and  
17 Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been  
18 harmed.

19 75. There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants'  
20 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm  
21 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

22 76. Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein,  
23 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members  
24 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious  
25 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-  
26 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or  
27 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members  
28 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants.

1 77. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' ultra-hazardous activity, as  
 2 described herein, the Plaintiffs and the Class Members have been injured and  
 3 harmed, and have suffered damages and economic losses, and seek actual, special,  
 4 exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages.

5 78. Because the Defendants engaged in ultra-hazardous activity that caused  
 6 hundreds of Billions or Trillions of dollars in damages to Plaintiffs and the Class  
 7 Members, Defendants are strictly liable to them for their damages.

### 8 9 **COUNT III – PUBLIC NUISANCE**

10 (Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member Against all Defendants).

11  
12 The Named Plaintiffs adopt, incorporate by reference, and restate the foregoing  
 13 allegations in paragraphs 1 through 78, as if fully set forth herein, and further  
 14 allege:

15 79. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City of  
 16 Wuhan, had a duty to the public at large, including Named Plaintiffs and members  
 17 of the class, not to use the property where the Wuhan Institute of Virology is  
 18 located, and/or create a condition that harms public health.

19 80. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City of  
 20 Wuhan, had a duty to the public at large, including Named Plaintiffs and members  
 21 of the classes, not to use the city and province as, essentially a giant Petri dish,  
 22 continuing to conduct extraordinarily large public gatherings, knowing of the  
 23 dangers of the virus and the ease of transmission.

24 81. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City  
 25 of Wuhan, breached that duty through the conduct described herein, including by  
 26 allowing COVID-19 to escape into Wuhan and/or flourish in Wuhan and Hubei,  
 27 and thereby become a pandemic.



1 82. Defendants' conduct has created a nuisance that violated rights, subverted  
2 public order in the United States and California, is indecent and immoral, and has  
3 caused annoyance, inconvenience and damage to the public, including the small  
4 businesses operated by the Named Plaintiffs and the members of the classes.

5 83. The Defendants' conduct and created nuisance has resulted in unreasonable  
6 injury to Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

7 84. But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and  
8 Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been  
9 harmed.

10 85. There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants'  
11 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm  
12 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

13 86. Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein,  
14 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members  
15 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious  
16 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-  
17 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or  
18 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members  
19 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants.

20 87. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' nuisance, Plaintiffs and the  
21 Class Members will continue to suffer harms in the form of lost revenue and lost  
22 profits, and such harms will require ongoing future abatement if Plaintiffs' and the  
23 Class Members' businesses are to be operational, functional, and profitable.

24 88. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' nuisance, as described  
25 herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have been injured and harmed, and have  
26 sustained financial damages and economic loses, and seek actual, special,  
27 exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages.

28

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Named Plaintiffs, CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY, INCORPORATED, a California corporation, FIRST PREMIER X, a California limited liability company, LITTLE SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC, a California limited liability company, and VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION FOUNDATION (“VACEF”), a California corporation, on behalf of themselves and as class representatives for all those similarly situated, demand judgment against Defendants, and pray for the following relief :

- a. Certification of the Class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointment of Plaintiffs as representatives of the respective Class and their undersigned counsel as Class counsel;
- b. An order requiring that Defendants pay compensatory and other damages to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, for their economic and non-economic damages and losses identified herein, to the full extent permitted by the law, but no less than \$8,000,000,000,000.00 dollars;
- c. An order awarding all damages allowed by any governing statutes or other governing law;
- d. An order awarding exemplary or punitive damages related to Defendants’ fraudulent, extreme, outrageous, malicious, oppressive conduct that was performed in conscious disregard of the health and safety of American citizens and California residents.
- d. Statutory pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;
- e. Costs and expenses in this litigation, including, but not limited to, expert fees, filing fees, and reasonable attorneys’ fees; and
- f. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

The Named Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf the Class Members,  
demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated this 6th day of April, 2020.

/s/ HOANG HUY TU, ESQ.

The Tu Firm, APLC  
10810 Warner Avenue, Ste. 12  
Fountain Valley, CA 92708  
Tel.: (714) 636-6030  
Fax.: (714) 636-6048  
ttflawyers@gmail.com